

REMARKS

This case has been carefully reviewed and analyzed in view of the Official Action dated July 21, 2005.

The Examiner has objected to the drawings. The numeral 17 at the right side of the figures is correct. The numeral "15" represents the through hole at the corner of the base plate, while the numeral "17" represents the through hole at the horizontal axis and the vertical axis. The element 22 is not shown to be inserted into the opening 14, but the locking hole 21 of the element 22 is aligned with the opening 14. Further, the TV screen is not the subject matter of the present invention and so the TV screen is shown in phantom lines. Hence, it is respectfully requested that the objection be withdrawn.

Moreover, the Examiner has rejected claims 1-13 under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. Furthermore, the Examiner has rejected claims 1-13 under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Claims 1-3 have been canceled and replaced with claims 14-20 in order to overcome the rejections.

It is now believed that the subject Patent Application has been placed in condition of allowance, and such action is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,



Signature

Leong C. Lei

Registration No. 50402

October 17, 2005